

Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechanics 29 (1998) 213-217

theoretical and applied fracture mechanics

# Analysis of material instability at an impact loaded crack tip

L. Chen, R.C. Batra \*

Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061-0219, USA

### Abstract

Assuming that a material point becomes unstable when the effective plastic strain there reaches a critical value, it is found that a material instability will initiate at a point on the crack-tip of an impulsively loaded prenotched plate that makes an angle of  $-14^{\circ}$  to the notch-tip. This agrees well with the observed values of  $-5^{\circ}$  to  $-15^{\circ}$ . © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Experiments were performed [1,2] to study the deformation of a plate with two parallel cracks or notches and impacted by a cylindrical projectile of diameter equal to the spacing between the cracks. The crack tip deformation field is predominantly Mode II, i.e., in-plane shearing mode. The experimental results show that with an increase in the impact speed, the failure mode changes from a brittle crack along approximately 70°, to an adiabatic shear band along approximately  $-5^{\circ}$  to  $-15^{\circ}$  to the notch ligament. Similar experiments have been performed on a plate with a single edge crack [3,4]. It was found in [3] that a shear band propagates forward nearly parallel to the prenotch in a shear dominated zone and then arrests. Subsequently a different failure mode is initiated at a large positive angle to the notch ligament. Rough fracture surfaces and shear lips suggest that this growth is Mode I dominated. Failure mode transition has also been related to material properties [4]. Under similar conditions, different failure

modes were observed in C-300 (a maraging) steel, but only shear banding mode of failure was observed in Ti-6Al-4V.

Using linear elastodynamics, Lee and Freund [5] analyzed the wave motion in a prenotched plate, showed that due to the effects of unloading waves, a transient, mixed-mode stress intensity factor field is induced near the crack tip, and determined time histories of stress intensity factors  $K_{II}(t)$  and  $K_{II}(t)$ . The  $K_{II}(t)$  is large due to the incident compressive wave, but a time-dependent  $K_{I}(t)$  is also generated. Finite element simulations for this problem have also been made [6–8].

Assume that the plate material can be modeled as elastic/plastic, and Lee and Freund's [5] solution for the elastic problem holds outside of a circular region surrounding the notch-tip. By postulating that strains within the circular region vary as  $r^{-N}$ with  $0 < N \le 1$  and r the distance from the notch-tip, and that a material instability initiates in the direction of the maximum effective plastic strain, we ascertain the direction of the material instability. For the problem studied in [1,2], the material instability is found to initiate at an angle of  $-14^{\circ}$  to the notch ligament which agrees well with the observed values of  $-5^{\circ}$  to  $-15^{\circ}$ .

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Fax: +1 540 231 4574.

<sup>0167-8442/98/\$19.00</sup>  $\odot$  1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: S 0 1 6 7 - 8 4 4 2 ( 9 8 ) 0 0 0 3 2 - 9

#### 2. Critical instability direction

The edge-cracked plate problem studied herein is shown schematically in Fig. 1. A rectangular Cartesian x, y-coordinate system is introduced in the plane of the plate with origin at the crack tip. Following the works in [6–8], assume that a plane strain mode of deformation prevails. The plate material is modeled as elastic-perfectly plastic, and the strain-displacement relations are assumed to be linear. In rectangular Cartesian coordinates, the effective plastic strain,  $\gamma_p$ , is given by

$$\gamma_{\rm p} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} e^{\rm p}_{ij} e^{\rm p}_{ij},\tag{1}$$

where  $e_{ij}^{p}$  is the deviatoric plastic strain tensor, and a repeated index implies summation over the range of the index.

The impact load on the plate induces a combination of transient Mode I and II deformation fields near the crack tip [5]. For this mixed-mode field, the stress field in the elastic zone  $\mathbf{E}$ , as determined by linear elastic dynamic fracture mechanics, is



Fig. 1. Schematic of crack configuration and loading.

$$\begin{split} \sigma_x &= \frac{K_{\rm I}}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \left( 1 - \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{3\theta}{2} \right) \\ &- \frac{K_{\rm II}}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \left( 2 + \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{3\theta}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{K_{\rm II}}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \left[ \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{2} m^{\rm e} \right) \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \left( 1 - \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{3\theta}{2} \right) \\ &- \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \left( 2 + \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{3\theta}{2} \right) \right] \\ &\equiv \frac{K_{\rm II}}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \sigma_{x1}(m^{\rm e}, \theta), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \sigma_y &= \frac{K_{\rm I}}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \left( 1 + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{3\theta}{2} \right) \\ &+ \frac{K_{\rm II}}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{3\theta}{2} \\ &= \frac{K_{\rm II}}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \left[ \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{2} m^{\rm e} \right) \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \left( 1 + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{3\theta}{2} \right) \\ &+ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{3\theta}{2} \right] \equiv \frac{K_{\rm II}}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \sigma_{y1}(m^{\rm e}, \theta), \end{split}$$

$$\tau_{xy} = \frac{K_{\rm I}}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{3\theta}{2} + \frac{K_{\rm II}}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \left( 1 - \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{3\theta}{2} \right) = \frac{K_{\rm II}}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \left[ \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{2} m^{\rm e} \right) \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{3\theta}{2} + \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \left( 1 - \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{3\theta}{2} \right) \right] \equiv \frac{K_{\rm II}}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \tau_{xy1}(m^{\rm e}, \theta), \sigma_z = v(\sigma_x + \sigma_y),$$
(2)

where *r* is the distance from the crack tip, and  $\theta$  the angle from the notch ligament, as shown in Fig. 1, *v* is Poisson's ratio, and  $m^{\rm e} = (2/\pi) \tan^{-1} (K_{\rm I}/K_{\rm II})$  is the mode-mixity parameter introduced in [9]. The mixity parameter is useful in characterizing the near-tip field under mixed-mode conditions. The time dependence of the mixity parameter for this problem was studied in [5].

In terms of the von Mises yield criterion, the corresponding small-scale plastic zone radius,  $r_{\rm p}$ , can be determined from Eq. (2), as

л. I

$$r_{\rm p} = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \frac{K_{\rm II}^2}{2\pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{3} [(1 - v + v^2)(\sigma_{x1}^2 + \sigma_{y1}^2) - (1 + 2v - 2v^2)\sigma_{x1}\sigma_{y1}] + \tau_{xy1}^2 \right\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \frac{K_{\rm II}^2}{2\pi} r_{\rm p1}(m^{\rm e}, \theta), \tag{3}$$

where  $\sigma_0$  is the yield stress in a quasistatic simple tension or compression test. The strain field at the boundary  $\Gamma$ , i.e.  $r = r_p$ , obtained by using Hooke's law and Eq. (2) is

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_{x}|_{\Gamma} &= \frac{1}{E} \frac{K_{\mathrm{II}}}{\sqrt{2\pi r_{\mathrm{p}}}} [\sigma_{x1}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta)(1-v^{2}) \\ &- \sigma_{y1}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta)(v+v^{2})] \\ &= \frac{\sigma_{0}}{E} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_{\mathrm{p1}}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta)}} [\sigma_{x1}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta)(1-v^{2}) \\ &- \sigma_{y1}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta)(v+v^{2})] \equiv \frac{\sigma_{0}}{E} \varepsilon_{x\Gamma}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta); \\ \varepsilon_{y}|_{\Gamma} &= \frac{1}{E} \frac{K_{\mathrm{II}}}{\sqrt{2\pi r_{\mathrm{p}}}} [\sigma_{y1}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta)(1-v^{2}) \\ &- \sigma_{x1}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta)(v+v^{2})] \\ &= \frac{\sigma_{0}}{E} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_{\mathrm{p1}}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta)}} [\sigma_{y1}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta)(1-v^{2}) \\ &- \sigma_{x1}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta)(v+v^{2})] \equiv \frac{\sigma_{0}}{E} \varepsilon_{y\Gamma}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta); \\ \gamma_{xy}|_{\Gamma} &= \frac{1+v}{E} \frac{K_{\mathrm{II}}}{\sqrt{2\pi r_{\mathrm{p}}}} \tau_{xy1}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta) \\ &= \frac{(1+v)\sigma_{0}}{E} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_{\mathrm{p1}}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta)}} \tau_{xy1}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta) \\ &\equiv \frac{\sigma_{0}}{E} \gamma_{xy\Gamma}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta). \end{split}$$

We assume that strains in zone **P** are proportional to  $r^{-N}$ ,  $1 \ge N > 0$ . This was suggested in [9–11] for the strain distribution around a crack tip. For  $r \ll 1$ , the elastic strains can be neglected as compared to their plastic counterparts. Henceforth, consider points near the notch-tip with  $r \ll 1$  and neglect elastic strains. Thus, at a point close to the notch-tip

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_{x}^{\mathrm{p}} &= \left(\frac{r_{\mathrm{p}}}{r}\right)^{N} \varepsilon_{x} \Big|_{\Gamma} \\ &= \left(\frac{K_{\mathrm{II}}^{2}}{2\sigma_{0}^{2}\pi}\right)^{N} \frac{\sigma_{0}}{E} \left(\frac{r_{\mathrm{pl}}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta)}{r}\right)^{N} \varepsilon_{x\Gamma}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta) \\ &\equiv \left(\frac{K_{\mathrm{II}}^{2}}{2\sigma_{0}^{2}\pi r}\right)^{N} \frac{\sigma_{0}}{E} \varepsilon_{x1}^{\mathrm{p}}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta), \\ \varepsilon_{y}^{\mathrm{p}} &= \left(\frac{r_{\mathrm{p}}}{r}\right)^{N} \varepsilon_{y} \Big|_{\Gamma} \\ &= \left(\frac{K_{\mathrm{II}}^{2}}{2\sigma_{0}^{2}\pi r}\right)^{N} \frac{\sigma_{0}}{E} \left(\frac{r_{\mathrm{pl}}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta)}{r}\right)^{N} \varepsilon_{y\Gamma}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta) \qquad (5) \\ &\equiv \left(\frac{K_{\mathrm{II}}^{2}}{2\sigma_{0}^{2}\pi r}\right)^{N} \frac{\sigma_{0}}{E} \varepsilon_{y1}^{\mathrm{p}}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta), \\ \varepsilon_{yx}^{\mathrm{p}} &= \left(\frac{r_{\mathrm{p}}}{r}\right)^{N} \gamma_{xy} \Big|_{\Gamma} \\ &= \left(\frac{K_{\mathrm{II}}^{2}}{2\sigma_{0}^{2}\pi r}\right)^{N} \frac{\sigma_{0}}{E} \left(\frac{r_{\mathrm{pl}}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta)}{r}\right)^{N} \gamma_{xy\Gamma}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta) \\ &\equiv \left(\frac{K_{\mathrm{II}}^{2}}{2\sigma_{0}^{2}\pi r}\right)^{N} \frac{\sigma_{0}}{E} \gamma_{xy1}^{\mathrm{p}}(m^{\mathrm{e}},\theta). \end{split}$$

Substitution from Eq. (5) into Eq. (1) yields

$$\gamma_{\rm p} = \left(\frac{K_{\rm II}^2}{2\sigma_0^2 \pi r}\right)^N \frac{\sigma_0}{E} \left\{\frac{2}{3} \left[ \left[ \varepsilon_{x1}^{\rm p}(m^{\rm e},\theta) \right]^2 + \left[ \varepsilon_{y1}^{\rm p}(m^{\rm e},\theta) \right]^2 + 2 \left[ \gamma_{xy1}^{\rm p}(m^{\rm e},\theta) \right]^2 \right] \right\}^{1/2}$$
$$\equiv \left(\frac{K_{\rm II}^2}{2\sigma_0^2 \pi r}\right)^N \frac{\sigma_0}{E} \gamma_{\rm p1}(m^{\rm e},\theta). \tag{6}$$

Thus the effective plastic strain depends on the mode-mixity parameter,  $m^{e}$ , and the angle  $\theta$ . It was found in [5] that for the time interval of interest, the mode-mixity parameter nearly equals -0.25 when Poisson's ratio for plate's material is 0.25. Let  $m^{e}$  in Eq. (6) be a constant. Also, postulate that the instability occurs at the critical angle  $\theta_{i}$  where the effective plastic strain  $\gamma_{p}$  reaches first the critical instability strain. Hence the critical angle  $\theta_{i}$  is determined by

$$\frac{\partial \gamma_{p1}(m^{\rm e},\theta)}{\partial \theta} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial^2 \gamma_{p1}(m^{\rm e},\theta)}{\partial^2 \theta} < 0. \tag{7}$$

This gives

$$\theta_{\rm i} = \theta_{\rm i}(m^{\rm e}). \tag{8}$$

Numerical experiments with N = 0.4, 0.7 and 1.0 gave virtually identical curves between  $\theta_i$  and  $m^e$ for  $-0.5 \leq m^e \leq 0.5$ . This is not surprising since the dependence of  $\gamma_{p1}$  upon  $m^e$  and  $\theta$  is determined by the far-field elastic solution. Henceforth we set N=1.0. The relationship between the critical instability angle,  $\theta_i$ , and the mode mixity parameter,  $m^e$ , is shown in Fig. 2 for Poisson's ratios equal to 0.25 and 0.4. It is evident that an increase in Poisson's ratio increases the critical instability angle; however, the change is small. Also

$$\theta_{\rm i} = 0 \quad \text{when } m^{\rm e} = 0.$$
 (9)

Thus under pure Mode II loading conditions, i.e.,  $K_{\rm I} = 0$ , the material instability occurs along the notch ligament. However, as mentioned above, a time-dependent Mode I stress intensity factor generally develops, i.e.,  $K_{\rm I} \neq 0$ , and the instability occurs at  $\theta_{\rm i} \neq 0$ . For  $m^{\rm e} = -0.25$ ,  $\theta_{\rm i} = -14^{\circ}$  which agrees well with the observed values of  $-5^{\circ}$  to  $-15^{\circ}$  [1].

Eq. (6) implies that  $\gamma_p$  is a function of  $K_I$ ,  $K_{II}$ and  $\theta$  since  $m^e = (2/\pi) \tan^{-1}(K_I/K_{II})$ . Numerical experiments with  $\theta$  and  $K_{II}$  kept constant indicated that maximum values (infinity) of  $\gamma_p$  occur for  $m_e = \pm 1$ . However, when  $\theta$  and  $K_I$  were kept fixed,  $\gamma_p$  assumed very large values for  $|m^e| \leq 0.17$ . Thus it is not transparent if the nonlinear equations

$$\frac{\partial \gamma_{\rm p}}{\partial K_{\rm I}} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial \gamma_{\rm p}}{\partial K_{\rm II}} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial \gamma_{\rm p}}{\partial \theta} = 0$$
(10)



Fig. 2. Dependence of the critical instability angle on mode mixity parameter for v = 0.25 and 0.40.

have a unique solution  $K_{\rm I}$ ,  $K_{\rm II}$  and  $\theta$ , and that solution corresponds to a maximum value of  $\gamma_{\rm p}$ .

#### 3. Conclusions

It is found that the direction of material instability at the crack-tip in an impulsively loaded prenotched elastic-plastic plate is not sensitive to the exponent N of the singularity in strains near the crack-tip. The direction  $-14^{\circ}$  of material instability agrees well with the test values of  $-5^{\circ}$  to  $-15^{\circ}$ .

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the ONR grants N00014-94-1-1211 and N00014-98-1-0300 with Dr. Y.D.S. Rajapakse as the program manager.

#### References

- J.F. Kalthoff, Shadow optical methods of caustics, in: A.S. Kobayashi (Ed.), Handbook on Experimental Mechanics, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1987, pp. 430–500.
- [2] J.F. Kalthoff, S. Winkler, Failure mode transition at high strain rates of loading, in: C.Y. Chiem, H.D. Kunze, L.W. Meyer (Eds.), Proceedings of the International Conference on Impact Loading and Dynamic Behavior of Materials, Deutsche Gewsellschaft fur Metallkundcle, DGM, Bremen, 1988, pp. 185–196.
- [3] J.J. Mason, A.J. Rosakis, G. Ravichandran, Full field measurements of the dynamic deformation field around a growing adiabatic shear band at the tip of a dynamically loaded crack or notch, J. Mech. Phys. Solids 42 (1994) 1679–1697.
- [4] M. Zhou, A.J. Rosakis, G. Ravichandran, Dynamically propagating shear bands in impact-loaded prenotched plates – I. Experimental investigations of temperature signatures and propagation speed, J. Mech. Phys. Solids 44 (1996) 981–1006.
- [5] Y.J. Lee, L.B. Freund, Fracture initiation due to asymmetric impact loading of an edge cracked plate, J. Appl. Mech. 57 (1990) 104–111.
- [6] A. Needleman, V. Tvergaard, Analysis of a brittle-ductile transition under dynamic shear loading, Int. J. Fracture 32 (1995) 2571–2590.
- [7] M. Zhou, G. Ravichandran, A.J. Rosakis, Dynamically propagating shear bands in impact-loaded prenotched plates – II. Numerical simulations, J. Mech. Phys. Solids 44 (1996) 1007–1032.

- [8] R.C. Batra, N.V. Nechitailo, Analysis of failure modes in impulsively loaded prenotched steel plates, Int. J. Plasticity 13 (1997) 291–308.
- [9] C.F. Shih, Small-scale yielding analysis of mixed plane strain crack problems, Fracture Analysis, ASTM STP 560 (1974) 187–210.
- [10] J.R. Rice, G.F. Rosengren, Plane strain deformation near a crack tip in a power-law hardening material, J. Mech. Phys. Solids 16 (1968) 1–12.
- [11] J.W. Hutchinson, Singular behavior at the end of a tensile crack in a hardening material, J. Mech. Phys. Solids 16 (1968) 13–31.